

DECISION AND STATEMENT OF REASONS OF MARTIN J.MCALLISTER LEGAL MEMBER OF THE FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL WITH DELEGATED POWERS OF THE CHAMBER PRESIDENT

Under Rule 8 of the First-tier Tribunal for Scotland Housing and Property

Chamber Rules of Procedure 2017 ("the Procedural Rules")

in connection with

Flat 1/1, 131 Lesmuir Drive, Glasgow, G14 0EL ("the Property")

Case Reference: FTS/HPC/EV/22/0928

Marie Jackson ("the Applicant")
Christopher Bates ("the Respondent")

- An application, dated 2nd March 2022, was received from the Applicant by the First –tier Tribunal for Scotland, Housing and Property Chamber (the FTT). The application was made under Rule 65 of the Rules of Procedure.
- 2. The Application stated that recovery of the Property was sought because the Applicant wanted to sell the Property.
- 3. The Property was let under a short assured tenancy in terms of the Housing (Scotland) Act 1988 ("the 1988 Act").
- 4. The FTT asked the Applicant for additional information and some was provided.

- 5. On 7th September 2022, the FTT wrote to the Applicant and advised her that the Ground of eviction she sought to rely on was not available under the 1988 Act and seeking clarification from her. The FTT also stated that the AT6 Form which had been produced was invalid. The Applicant was also asked for a copy of the relevant notice served on the local authority in terms of section 11 of the Homelessness etc. (Scotland) Act 2003 and proof of service of the notice.
- The Applicant was advised that, if no response was received from her by 14th
 September, 2022, the President may consider rejecting the application. No response has been received by the FTT.

DECISION

7. I considered the application in terms of Rules 5 and 8 of the Procedural Rules.

Rule 5 provides

- (1) An application is held to have been made on the date that it is lodged if, on that date, it is lodged in the manner as set out in rules 43, 47 to 50, 55, 59, 61, 65 to 70, 72, 75 to 91, 93 to 95, 98 to 101, 103 or 105 to 111, as appropriate.
- (2) The Chamber President or another member of the First-tier Tribunal, under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, must determine whether an application has been lodged in the required manner by assessing whether all mandatory requirements for lodgement have been met.
- (3) If it is determined that an application has not been lodged in the prescribed manner, the Chamber President or another member of the First-tier Tribunal, under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, may request further documents and the application is to be held to be made on the date that the First-tier Tribunal receives the last of any outstanding documents necessary to meet the required manner for lodgement.

.

Rule 8 provides

"Rejection of application

- 8. —(1) The Chamber President or another member of the First-tier Tribunal under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, must reject an application if –
- (a) they consider that the application is frivolous or vexatious;
- (b) the dispute to which the application relates has been resolved;
- (c) they have good reason to believe that it would not be appropriate to accept the application;
- (d) they consider that the application is being made for a purpose other than a purpose specified in the application; or
- (e) the applicant has previously made an identical or substantially similar application and in the opinion of the Chamber President or another member of the First-tier Tribunal, under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, there has been no significant change in any material considerations since the identical or substantially similar application was determined.
- (2) Where the Chamber President, or another member of the First-tier Tribunal, under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, makes a decision under paragraph (1) to reject an application the First-tier Tribunal must notify the applicant and the notification must state the reason for the decision."
- 8. After consideration of the application, the attachments and correspondence from the Applicant, I consider that the application should be rejected in terms of Rule 8 (c) of the Rules of Procedure on the basis as the Tribunal has good reason to believe that it would not be appropriate to accept the application.

REASONS FOR DECISION

- 9. The Applicant was asked for further information and to clarify matters with regard to the application and she failed to do so.
- 10. The information requested was essential for the Tribunal to make a decision as to whether or not to admit the application for determination. The Applicant had failed to provide information having been required to do so in terms of Rule 5 (3).
- 11. On the face of it, the application was not valid, given that it was relying on a ground not available under the 1988 Act. The Form AT6 was not valid in terms of Section 19 of the 1988 Act

What you should do now

If you accept the Legal Member's decision, there is no need to reply.

If you disagree with this decision:-

An applicant aggrieved by the decision of the Chamber President, or any Legal Member acting under delegated powers, may appeal to the Upper Tribunal for Scotland on a point of law only. Before an appeal can be made to the Upper Tribunal, the party must first seek permission to appeal from the First-tier Tribunal. That party must seek permission to appeal within 30 days of the date the decision was sent to them. Information about the appeal procedure can be forwarded to you on request.



Martin J. McAllister, Legal Member First-tier Tribunal 19th October 2022