

**DECISION AND STATEMENT OF REASONS BY THE LEGAL MEMBER OF THE
FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL WITH DELEGATED POWERS OF THE CHAMBER
PRESIDENT**

Under Rule 8 of the First-tier Tribunal for Scotland Housing and Property Chamber
Rules of Procedure 2017 ("the Procedural Rules")

in connection with

47 Trinity Avenue, Glasgow, G52 3ES
the Property

Case Reference: FTS/HPC/EV/19/1098

The Parties:-

**Mr Mark Luporini, c/o Houstons, Office 8, 68-74 Queen Elizabeth Avenue, Hillington,
Glasgow G52 4NQ**

Applicant

Houstons, Office 8, 69-74 Queen Elizabeth Avenue, Glasgow, G52 4NQ

Applicant's Representative

Ms Jody Armstrong, 47 Trinity Avenue, Glasgow G52 3ES

Respondent

Background

1. By Application dated 9th April 2019 the Applicant applied to the Tribunal under Rule 66 of the Procedural Rules for an order for possession upon termination of a short assured tenancy.
2. In terms of Rule 8 of the Procedural Rules the Legal Member of the Tribunal under the delegated powers of the Chamber President considered whether there were grounds to reject the Application. The Legal Member determined to reject the Application on the basis that he had good reason to believe that it is frivolous under Rule 8(1)(a) of the Procedural Rules.

Reasons for Decision

3. The Legal Member considered the Application together with supporting documents which were lodged.
4. 'Frivolous' in the context of legal proceedings is defined by Lord Justice Bingham in *R v North West Suffolk (Mildenhall) Magistrates Court*, (1998) Env. L.R. 9. At page 16, he states:- *"What the expression means in this context is, in my view, that the*

court considers the application to be futile, misconceived, hopeless or academic". It is that definition which the Legal Member had to consider in this Application in order to determine whether or not this application is frivolous, misconceived, and has no prospect of success.

5. The Applicant, through his Representative, was asked to provide further information, documentation and representations by 24th May 2019. He has failed to do so and the Application is incomplete. Accordingly the Legal Member considered that the Application presently lodged, without satisfactory, further information, documentation and representations, has no prospect of success.
6. Therefore, having regard to the aforementioned test in *R v North West Suffolk (Mildenhall) Magistrates Court*, the Legal Member concluded that the Application is frivolous and has rejected it under Rule 8(1)(a) of the Procedure Regulations.

Decision

7. The Legal Member, with delegated authority from the Chamber President, determined to reject the Application as being frivolous in terms of Rule 8(1)(a) of the Procedure Regulations.

G McWilliams
Legal Member

6th June 2019

What you should do now

If you accept the Legal Member's decision, there is no need to reply.

If you disagree with this decision:-

A party aggrieved by the decision of the Chamber President, or any Legal Member acting under delegated powers, may appeal to the Upper Tribunal for Scotland on a point of law only. Before an appeal can be made to the Upper Tribunal, the party must first seek permission to appeal from the First-tier Tribunal. That party must seek permission to appeal within 30 days of the date the decision was sent to them. Information about the appeal procedure can be forwarded on request.