



Decision with Statement of Reasons of the First-tier Tribunal for Scotland (Housing and Property Chamber) under Section Regulation 10 of the Tenancy Deposit Scheme (Scotland) Regulations 2011 (“the 2011 Regulations”)

Chamber Ref: FTS/HPC/PR/25/4527

Re: Property at 2/1, 478 St Vincent Street, Glasgow, G3 8XU (“the Property”)

Parties:

Miss Amina Rage, 3/3 405 Sauchiehall Street, Glasgow (“the Applicant”)

Mr Khaleefa Mahmood, 10 Kenmure Road, Glasgow, G46 6TU (“the Respondent”)

Tribunal Members:

Elaine Paton (Legal Member)

Decision (in absence of the Applicant and the Respondent)

The First-tier Tribunal for Scotland (Housing and Property Chamber) (“the Tribunal”) determined to dismiss the application for want of insistence.

Background

1. The Applicant applied to the Tribunal for a payment order under Rule 103 of the First-tier Tribunal for Scotland (Housing and Property Chamber) Rules of Procedure 2017 (“the Rules”) and Regulation 9 of the 2011 Regulations. The Applicant sought a sanction against the Respondent as a result of their failure to lodge timeously the Applicant’s tenancy deposit with an approved tenancy deposit scheme.
2. The application was referred to a case management discussion (“CMD”) to take place by teleconference on 10 April 2026. Notification of the CMD was given to the parties in terms of Rule 17(2) of the Rules. Said notification was served upon the Respondent by Sheriff Officers on 20 February 2026.
3. The Respondent was invited to make written representations by a specified date and both parties able to lodge documentation at least 7 days in advance of the CMD. No response was submitted by the Respondent.

The CMD

- 4, Neither party attended the teleconference CMD on 10 April 2026. Both parties had been properly notified of the CMD and provided with details to allow them to join the teleconference. The Applicant had been sent a notification letter by email to the email address provided by them on 18 October 2025 and utilised in subsequent email exchanges between them and the Housing and Property Chamber (HPC) administration. The Tribunal was satisfied that the Applicant had been given reasonable notice of the CMD in terms of rule 17.2.
5. The Tribunal had the following documents before it:- (1) correspondences to the Applicant from the HPC administration dated 22 October 2025, 11 November 2025, 09 December 2025, and 13 January 2026 seeking information from them regarding their application and supporting documentation; (2) Form G application form dated 18 October 2025 and supporting documents including: copy Private residential tenancy agreement regarding the Property dated June 2023; copy Private residential tenancy agreement regarding the Property dated June 2024; copy redacted bank entry information; various screenshots of text messages and web pages; and (3) Form F application form dated 18 November 2025. The Tribunal had also been provided with landlord registration detail and the title sheet to the Property.
6. In relation to the HPC administration's aforementioned correspondences, the Applicant responded in part only to the communications dated 22 October 2025 and 11 November 2025. The Applicant did not respond to the subsequent correspondences dated 09 December 2025 and 13 January 2026. The Tribunal determined that the application should be dismissed for want of insistence.

Right of Appeal

In terms of Section 46 of the Tribunal (Scotland) Act 2014, a party aggrieved by the decision of the Tribunal may appeal to the Upper Tribunal for Scotland on a point of law only. Before an appeal can be made to the Upper Tribunal, the party must first seek permission to appeal from the First-tier Tribunal. That party must seek permission to appeal within 30 days of the date the decision was sent to them.

Elaine Paton, Legal Member

Date: 10 April 2026

