



Decision with Statement of Reasons of the First-tier Tribunal for Scotland (Housing and Property Chamber) under Section 16 of the Housing (Scotland) Act 2014

Chamber Ref: FTS/HPC/CV/25/0941

Re: Property at 1A LONDON STREET, EDINBURGH, EH3 6LZ (“the Property”)

Parties:

MR NEIL GRICE, MRS HENRIETTA GRICE, 3 CRABTREE GREEN COURT, STONEY FORD LANE, NORTHWICH, CW8 2WN (“the Applicant”)

MRS CHRISTINE LONGSTAFF, MR KEITH LONGSTAFF, 1A LONDON STREET, EDINBURGH, EH3 6LZ; 19B London Street, EDINBURGH, EH3 6LY (“the Respondent”)

Tribunal Members:

Gabrielle Miller (Legal Member) and David Fotheringham (Ordinary Member)

Decision

The First-tier Tribunal for Scotland (Housing and Property Chamber) (“the Tribunal”) determined that the order for payment is granted to the amount of £22090 (TWENTY TWO THOUSAND AND NINETY POUNDS).

Background

1. This is an application in terms of Rule 70 of the First-tier Tribunal for Scotland Housing and Property Chamber (Procedure) Regulations 2017 (“the Rules”). The Applicant is seeking an order for recovery of possession in terms of section 16 of the Housing (Scotland) Act 2014.
2. On 30th May 2025, all parties were written to with the date for the Case Management Discussion (“CMD”) of 14th July 2025 at 10am by teleconference. The letter also requested all written representations be submitted by 20th June 2025.

3. On 2nd June 2025, sheriff officers served the letter with notice of the hearing date and documentation upon the Respondent by letterbox service. This was evidenced by Certificate of Intimation dated 2nd June 2025.
4. The First Named Respondent, Mrs Christine Longstaff, emailed the Housing and Property Chamber on 11th June 2025 submitting a Time To Pay Direction (“TTPD”). She also lodged a submission stating that the Second Named Respondent, Mr Keith Longstaff, has not been resident in the Property for the majority of the tenancy. She proposed to pay £350 to the arrears from 3rd August 2025.
5. On 25th June 2025, the Applicants’ representative emailed the Housing and Property Chamber with a response to the TTPD. This was opposed due to the duration of the proposed repayment time and that it appeared to be dependent upon the Respondent remaining in the Property.
6. On 30th June 2025, the Applicants’ representative emailed the Housing and Property Chamber lodging an up to date rent account, a paper apart explaining the Applicants position regarding selling the Property and a letter of engagement from estate agents to sell the Property. The rent account was for the period June 2023 to June 2025. It stated the arrears are currently £15265.
7. On 5th July 2025, the First Named Respondent emailed the Housing and Property Chamber raising several points including that she has been advised that the Notice to Quit was not served on the ground of rent arrears. It also stated that should she have to leave the Property she would not be able to repay the rent at the same rate which she had offered in the TTPD.

Case Management Discussion

8. The Tribunal held a Case Management Discussion (“CMD”) on 14th July 2025 at 10am by teleconference. The Applicant was present and was represented by Mr Tony McTigue, Trainee Solicitor, Jackson Boyd. The Respondents were not present. The Tribunal proceeded in terms of Rule 29 of the Rules.
9. It was noted in the representations sent by the First Named Respondent, Mrs Longstaff, that the Second Named Respondent does not live in the Property and has not done so for many years. The Applicant confirmed that there has never been any notice from the Second Named Respondent that he wished to be removed from the tenancy. Mr McTigue said that so while he may not be living there he remains jointly and severally liable for all aspects of the tenancy until he is removed from it.
10. Mr McTigue said that there has been no contact from Mrs Longstaff recently. The letting agent has written to her on 30th June 2025 with an up to date copy of the rent schedule. There has been no response to this correspondence. He is not aware of any entitlement to Universal Credit Housing Element, noting that in the proposed payment plan lodged it stated that she was working part time

and in receipt of Tax Credits. Nothing further is known about her income. Mr McTigue was not aware of any vulnerabilities or disabilities in the household. The Applicant noted that it has been said that Mrs Longstaff has two dependent children. However, there was a post on social media of her son being 21 in January 2025 and her other son being 19. The Tribunal noted that it did not have copies of this before it.

11. The Applicant said that Mrs Longstaff did have a café and street food stand in Leith. However, according to social media this closed around May 2024 which is when there became issues with the rent not being paid. The Applicant said that there had been issues in the past with the rent but that she had always been given a chance to catch up. That was in March 2023. This has not happened in this case. The Applicant said that he has a buy to let mortgage over the Property which costs £636 per month. The non payment of rent is putting a financial pressure upon them. The mortgage term is nearing an end and it is anticipated that from September this mortgage will rise to £950 per month. It is the intention of the Applicants to sell the Property once it is vacant as both have retired. This means that they will need to stay on the non fixed rate mortgage until it is sold or there will be financial penalties. The Applicant confirmed that he only rents out this house with his wife. He has a 50% share in his late father's home with his sister. This was their family home. This property is in England. The Applicant also noted that the rent has only increased three times in the last 10 years. He believes that the Property is currently has rent charge well below the market value which would be around £1200 - £1300 per month. He feels that as landlords that they have been reasonable with Mrs Longstaff. The Applicants are not confident that Mrs Longstaff will pay either her ongoing rent charge or address her arrears going forward given her history of payments.
12. The Tribunal considered all the information that it had before it. The notices had been served in a correct legal manner. The Respondents have a very high level of rent arrears which has not been addressed at all for over a year which is putting a financial pressure on the Applicants. The Tribunal does not need to consider reasonableness for this type of case.
13. The Applicant is entitled to an order of payment of £15265 by the Respondent. The Order was granted against the Respondent
14. On 19th July 2025, the Respondent emailed the Housing and Property Chamber asking that the application be recalled as she had to travel to Austria to support a close family member with a bereavement. This caused her to miss the CMD. The Respondent was written to by the Housing and Property Chamber to clarify if she wished to only recall the eviction application. On 6th August 2025, the Respondent confirmed she wished both applications to be recalled due to her not being able to attend the CMD.
15. The Tribunal accepted that it was in the interests of justice to allow the recall to be granted given that the First Named Respondent could not attend due having to leave the country in connection to a family bereavement. Both applications were recalled in the interest of justice.

The recalled CMD

16. The Tribunal held a Case Management Discussion (“CMD”) on 14th July 2025 at 10am by teleconference. The Applicant was present and was represented by Mr Tony McTigue, Trainee Solicitor, Jackson Boyd. The Respondent, Mrs Longstaff, was present and represented herself.
17. Mr McTigue confirmed that the Applicants’ position remained as it had been when the case had last called with an amendment to the amount sought. An amendment was lodged on 15th January 2026 to amend the amount to £22090. Mr McTigue said that there has been a change to the Applicants mortgage. They have a buy to let mortgage. The monthly repayments have now changed from £632 to £923 per month. This is putting them under a further financial pressure especially with the non payment of rent.
18. Mr McTigue said that he had lodged an amended rent account on the day of the CMD as the one lodged on 15th January 2026 was incorrect as it detailed the rent charge as £925 per month and not £995 per month. This meant that the arrears on the previously lodged rent account were incorrect. They were not £22090 but £22230. However, Mr McTigue accepted that this was an insufficient notice period to amend the amount sought. He was content for an order to be granted for £22090.
19. The Respondent said that she had a lease for her business premises. However, a part of her lease was that she would find another tenant for it if she ended the lease early. She had thought she had one but it did not work out. She misprioritised her money to this and other financial commitments that she has which meant that she did not pay her rent. She had wanted to pay her rent charge plus £300 per month and submitted a Time To Pay Direction (“TTPD”) to this effect. This was refused by the Applicants so she did not know what she was to pay. The Tribunal noted that she still had the on-going obligation to pay her rent each month regardless of the TTPD. She was also saving for another deposit. She was living with her two children who are aged 22 and 20 and in education. Her eldest child has now moved out. Her youngest is in the process of moving out to live with his friends. This means that she is not limited to live in central Edinburgh where the rents are so high. She has spoken to her local authority but has been told there is a 3000 day waiting list for mainstream housing. She has not registered with the homeless team. She has not looked for alternative property. She would like to stay in this property as it is very nice and she has looked after it. She has lived in it for 10 years. The Tribunal asked why she did not pay her rent even in January 2026. She said that she had to use the money to pay for something else.
20. Although the Respondent does not dispute the arrears. She recalled the order in July 2025 to allow her to attend she has not made any attempts to pay her ongoing rent charge nor address her arrears. In the month prior to the recalled CMD she had prioritised other financial matters. Her arrears have increased by almost £7000 since the last CMD. The Applicants mortgage costs have increased to almost the same amount as the rent charge. They wish to sell the

Property. The Tribunal was satisfied that it was appropriate to grant an order for payment.

Findings in Fact and Reasons for Decision

21. The parties entered into a Short Assured Tenancy on 3rd February 2014 for a 6 month period until 4th August 2014. The tenancy was continued by tacit relocation thereafter with no less than a two month notice period. An AT5 was signed by both parties on the 28th January 2014. The rent payments of initially £880 per month was increased three times over ten years to the current rent charge of £995 per month. This is due to be paid on the third day of each month.
22. The Respondent has not paid any of her ongoing rent charge since April 2024. She has made no attempt to make any payments at all since then. The Respondent does not dispute the arrears.
23. The outstanding arrears were £15265 when the original order was granted in July 2025. The arrears are now £22230. The Applicants seek a payment order of £22090. This amount is owed to the Applicants by the Respondents.

Decision

24. The Applicant is entitled to an order of payment of £22090 by the Respondent. The Order was granted against the Respondent

Right of Appeal

In terms of Section 46 of the Tribunal (Scotland) Act 2014, a party aggrieved by the decision of the Tribunal may appeal to the Upper Tribunal for Scotland on a point of law only. Before an appeal can be made to the Upper Tribunal, the party must first seek permission to appeal from the First-tier Tribunal. That party must seek permission to appeal within 30 days of the date the decision was sent to them.

Gabrielle Miller

2nd February 2026

Legal Member/Chair

Date