Decision with Statement of Reasons of the First-tier Tribunal for Scotland (Housing and Property Chamber) under Section 51 of the Private Housing (Tenancies) (Scotland) Act 2016

Chamber Ref: FTS/HPC/EV/24/3946

Re: Property at 500 1/1 Victoria Road, Glasgow, G42 8PQ ("the Property")

Parties:

Santander UK plc, 2 Triton Square, Regents Place, London ("the Applicant")

Mr Fiaz Ahmed Raja, 500 1/1 Victoria Road, Glasgow, G42 8PQ ("the Respondent")

Tribunal Members:

Ruth O'Hare (Legal Member) and Elizabeth Williams (Ordinary Member)

Decision

The First-tier Tribunal for Scotland (Housing and Property Chamber) ("the Tribunal") determined that the provisions of ground 2 of schedule 3 of the Private Housing (Tenancies) (Scotland) Act 2016 ("the 2016 Act") have been met. The Tribunal therefore made an eviction order under section 51 of the 2016 Act with execution of the order suspended until 30 May 2025.

Background

- The Applicant applied to the Tribunal for an eviction order under Rule 109 of the First-tier Tribunal for Scotland (Housing and Property Chamber) Rules of Procedure 2017 and section 51 of the Private Housing (Tenancies) (Scotland) Act 2016 ("the 2016 Act"). The Applicant relied upon ground 2 of schedule 3 of the 2016 Act, stating that the Applicant, as lender, intended to sell the property.
- The application was referred to a Case Management Discussion ("CMD") to take place by teleconference on 27 March 2025. The Tribunal gave both parties notification of the CMD. Said notification was served upon the Respondent by sheriff officers on 20 February 2025. Both parties were invited to make written representations.

3 No written representations were received from the parties in advance of the CMD.

The CMD

- The CMD took place on 27 March 2025 by teleconference. The Applicant's representative, Mr Docherty of Ascent Legal Scotland, represented the Applicant. Mr Balal Raja, the Respondent's son, represented the Respondent.
- 5 The Tribunal had the following documents before it:-
 - (i) Form E application form dated 26 August 2024;
 - (ii) Title sheet GLA170681;
 - (iii) Excerpt from the online landlord register confirming the property is not listed on the landlord register;
 - (iv) Private residential tenancy agreement between the Respondent and Lets Rent Direct (Agency and Management) dated 1 June 2020;
 - (v) Section 11 notice to Glasgow City Council together with proof of delivery by email;
 - (vi) Notice to leave dated 16 April 2024 together with proof of delivery by sheriff officers to the Respondent; and
 - (vii) Extract decree from Glasgow Sheriff Court dated 22 October 2021.
- The Tribunal explained the purpose of the CMD and the legal test under ground 2 of schedule 3 of the 2016 Act. The Tribunal proceeded to hear submissions from the parties. For the avoidance of doubt the following is a summary of the key elements of the discussion relevant to the Tribunal's determination of the application and does not constitute a verbatim account of the proceedings.
- 7 Mr Docherty outlined the background to the application and the decree granted in favour the Applicant following the owner's default on their mortgage. The decree entitled the Applicant to enter into possession and sell the subjects. The Applicant required vacant possession in order to achieve best value in line with their legal duties under consumer law and maximise the value of the property for sale. A vacant property would generate more funds than a property with a sitting tenant. Mr Docherty confirmed that there was approximately £199,000 outstanding on the mortgage. Mr Docherty referred to the notice to leave that had been submitted with the application, which had been competently drafted and served. There had been no contact from the Respondent following service of the notice to leave. Mr Docherty submitted that ground 2 had been met in this case and moved the Tribunal to make an eviction order. In response to questions from the Tribunal Mr Docherty confirmed that a Form BB had been sent to the Respondent and the court had been satisfied that this was adequately served prior to granting the aforementioned decree.
- 8 Mr Raja explained that the Respondent was ill. He had problems with his eyesight. He was 61 years old. Mr Raja took care of the Respondent and his

mother. Mr Raja resided in the property with his parents, his two sisters and his wife. They had been looking for accommodation but were struggling to find another property. They had applied to housing associations and to the council. They had been told that they had to wait for an order from the court before their applications could proceed. They had no objection to leaving the property other than the fact that they did not yet have anywhere else to go.

- 9 The Tribunal asked parties for their comments on a suspension of the execution of the order, in the event that the Tribunal were minded to make an eviction order. Mr Docherty highlighted the amount outstanding on the loan. It was in the interest of both the owners and the Applicant for matters to be progressed. It was Mr Docherty's understanding that the eviction order may in fact assist the Respondent, as the housing providers would typically only act once an order was in place. In response to questions from the Tribunal Mr Docherty advised that he did not know why it had taken time for the Applicant to raise these proceedings, having regard to the fact that the decree was granted by the court in October 2021. The Applicant had only instructed his firm in February 2023.
- Mr Raja explained that the Respondent would be looking for further time to find accommodation. Mr Raja was the only member of his household in employment. He could not afford a flat for six people on his own. In response to questions from the Tribunal he confirmed that the Respondent had stopped paying rent for the property in 2024 following advice from the council.
- The Tribunal adjourned the CMD to deliberate, at which point parties left the call, before resuming the discussion and confirming its decision.

Relevant Legislation

12 The Tribunal considered the following provisions of the 2016 Act:-

Private Housing (Tenancies) (Scotland) Act 2016

1 - Meaning of private residential tenancy

- 1) A tenancy is a private residential tenancy where—
- (a) the tenancy is one under which a property is let to an individual ("the tenant") as a separate dwelling,
- (b) the tenant occupies the property (or any part of it) as the tenant's only or principal home, and
- (c) the tenancy is not one which schedule 1 states cannot be a private residential tenancy.
- (2) A tenancy which is a private residential tenancy does not cease to be one by reason only of the fact that subsection (1)(b) is no longer satisfied.

51 First-tier Tribunal's power to issue an eviction order

- (1) The First-tier Tribunal is to issue an eviction order against the tenant under a private residential tenancy if, on an application by the landlord, it finds that one of the eviction grounds named in schedule 3 applies.
- (2) The provisions of schedule 3 stating the circumstances in which the Tribunal may find that an eviction ground applies are exhaustive of the circumstances in which the Tribunal is entitled to find that the ground in question applies.
- (3) The Tribunal must state in an eviction order the eviction ground, or grounds, on the basis of which it is issuing the order.
- (4) An eviction order brings a tenancy which is a private residential tenancy to an end on the day specified by the Tribunal in the order.

52 Applications for eviction orders and consideration of them

- (1) In a case where two or more persons jointly are the landlord under a tenancy, an application for an eviction order may be made by any one of those persons.
- (2) The Tribunal is not to entertain an application for an eviction order if it is made in breach of—
- (a) subsection (3), or
- (b) any of sections 54 to 56 (but see subsection (4)).
- (3) An application for an eviction order against a tenant must be accompanied by a copy of a notice to leave which has been given to the tenant.
- (4) Despite subsection (2)(b), the Tribunal may entertain an application made in breach of section 54 if the Tribunal considers that it is reasonable to do so.
- (5) The Tribunal may not consider whether an eviction ground applies unless it is a ground which—
- (a) is stated in the notice to leave accompanying the landlord's application in accordance with subsection (3), or
- (b) has been included with the Tribunal's permission in the landlord's application as a stated basis on which an eviction order is sought.

54 Restriction on applying during the notice period

- (1) A landlord may not make an application to the First-tier Tribunal for an eviction order against a tenant using a copy of a notice to leave until the expiry of the relevant period in relation to that notice.
- (2) The relevant period in relation to a notice to leave—
- (a) begins on the day the tenant receives the notice to leave from the landlord, and
- (b) in the case of a notice served before 3 October 2020 expires on the day falling—
- (i) 28 days after it begins if subsection (3) applies,
- (ii) three months after it begins if subsection (3A) applies,

- (iii) six months after it begins if neither subsection (3) nor (3A) applies.
- (c) in the case of a notice served on or after 3 October 2020, expires on the day falling—
- (i) 28 days after it begins if subsection (3B) applies,
- (ii) three months after it begins if subsection (3C) applies,
- (iii) six months after it begins if neither subsection (3B) nor (3C) applies
- (3) This subsection applies if the only eviction ground stated in the notice to leave is that the tenant is not occupying the let property as the tenant's home. [ground 10]
- (3A) This subsection applies if—
- (a) the only eviction ground, or grounds, stated in the notice to leave is, or are, one or more of the following—
- (i) that the landlord intends to live in the let property, [ground 4]
- (ii) that a member of the landlord's family intends to live in the let property, [ground 5]
- (iii) that the tenant has a relevant conviction, [ground 13]
- (iv) that the tenant has engaged in relevant anti-social behaviour, [ground 14]
- (v) that the tenant associates in the let property with a person who has a relevant conviction or has engaged in relevant anti-social behaviour, [ground 15]
- (vi) that the landlord is not registered by the relevant local authority under the Antisocial Behaviour etc. (Scotland) Act 2004, [ground 16]
- (vii) that the let property or associated living accommodation is in multiple occupation and not licensed under Part 5 of the Housing (Scotland) Act 2006, [ground 17] or
- (b) the only eviction grounds stated in the notice to leave are—
- (i) the eviction ground mentioned in subsection (3), and
- (ii) an eviction ground, or grounds, mentioned in paragraph (a)
- (3B) This subsection applies if the only eviction ground, or grounds, stated in the notice to leave is, or are, one or more of the following—
- (a) that the tenant is not occupying the let property as the tenant's home, [ground 10]
- (b) that the tenant has a relevant conviction, [ground 13]
- (c) that the tenant has engaged in relevant anti-social behaviour, or [ground 14]
- (d) that the tenant associates in the let property with a person who has a relevant conviction or has engaged in relevant anti-social behaviour. [ground 15]
- (3C) This subsection applies if—

- (a) the only eviction ground, or grounds, stated in the notice to leave is, or are, one or more of the following—
- (i) that the landlord intends to live in the let property, [ground 4]
- (ii) that a member of the landlord's family intends to live in the let property, [ground 5]
- (iii) that the landlord is not registered by the relevant local authority under the Antisocial Behaviour etc. (Scotland) Act 2004, [ground 16]
- (iv) that the let property or associated living accommodation is in multiple occupation and not licensed under Part 5 of the Housing (Scotland) Act 2006, or [ground 17]
- (b) the only eviction grounds stated in the notice to leave are—
- (i) an eviction ground, or grounds, mentioned in subsection (3B), and
- (ii) an eviction ground, or grounds, mentioned in paragraph (a).

62 Meaning of notice to leave and stated eviction ground

- (1) References in this Part to a notice to leave are to a notice which—
- (a) is in writing,
- (b) specifies the day on which the landlord under the tenancy in question expects to become entitled to make an application for an eviction order to the First-tier Tribunal,
- (c) states the eviction ground, or grounds, on the basis of which the landlord proposes to seek an eviction order in the event that the tenant does not vacate the let property before the end of the day specified in accordance with paragraph (b), and
- (d) fulfils any other requirements prescribed by the Scottish Ministers in regulations.
- (2) In a case where two or more persons jointly are the landlord under a tenancy, references in this Part to the tenant receiving a notice to leave from the landlord are to the tenant receiving one from any of those persons.
- (3) References in this Part to the eviction ground, or grounds, stated in a notice to leave are to the ground, or grounds, stated in it in accordance with subsection (1)(c).
- (4) The day to be specified in accordance with subsection (1)(b) is the day falling after the day on which the notice period defined in section 54(2) will expire.
- (5) For the purpose of subsection (4), it is to be assumed that the tenant will receive the notice to leave 48 hours after it is sent.

Schedule 3, Part 2

- 2(1) It is an eviction ground that a lender intends to sell the let property.
- (2) The First-tier Tribunal may find that the ground named by sub-paragraph
- (1) applies if—

- (a)the let property is subject to a heritable security,
- (b)the creditor under that security is entitled to sell the property, **F**
- (c)the creditor requires the tenant to leave the property for the purpose of disposing of it with vacant possession, and
- (d)the Tribunal is satisfied that it is reasonable to issue an eviction order on account of those facts.

Findings in Fact

- 13 The property is owned by Mohammed Nawaz and Faisal Nawaz.
- On or around 17 August 2006 Mohammed Nawaz and Faisal Nawaz granted a standard security over the property in favour of Alliance and Leicester Plc. The business of Alliance and Leicester Plc was transferred to the Applicant on 28 May 2010.
- The Respondent entered into a tenancy agreement with Lets Rent Direct (Agency and Management) in respect of the property, which commenced on 1 June 2020.
- The tenancy between the parties is a private residential tenancy as defined by section 1 of the 2016 Act.
- Mohammed Nawaz and Faisal Nawaz subsequently defaulted on their mortgage. On 22 October 2021 the Applicant obtained a decree from Glasgow Sheriff Court against Mohammed Nawaz and Faisal Nawaz. Said decree entitles the Applicant to enter into possession and sell the property.
- On 16 April 2024 the Applicant served a notice to leave upon the Respondent by sheriff officers.
- The notice to leave cited ground 2 and stated that an application to the Tribunal would not be made any earlier than 11 July 2024.
- On 26 August 2024 the Applicant emailed a notice under section 11 of the Homelessness etc (Scotland) Act 2003 to Glasgow City Council.
- 21 The Applicant is the registered owner of the property.
- The Applicant requires to sell the property. The Applicant requires the Respondent to leave the property for the purpose of disposing of it with vacant possession. The Applicant has a legal duty to achieve best value for the owners. A sale with vacant possession will ensure the maximum possible sale price.
- 23 The current amount outstanding on the mortgage is approximately £199,000.

- The Respondent resides in the property with his wife, his son and his two daughters. There are no dependents under the age of 18.
- The Respondent is aged 61. The Respondent has problems with his eyesight and is unable to work.
- The Respondent and his family have applied to the council and to housing associations for alternative accommodation. They have been advised that their applications will not be progressed until the Tribunal makes an eviction order.
- 27 The Respondent and his family do not object to leaving the property.

Reasons for Decision

- The Tribunal took into account the application paperwork and the submissions at the CMD. The Tribunal was satisfied that it could reach a decision on the application without a hearing under Rule 18 of the Rules, and make relevant findings in fact based on the information provided by the parties. The Tribunal did not identified any issues to be resolved in this case that would require a hearing to be fixed.
- Having considered the application paperwork, the Tribunal accepted that the Respondent had been given a notice to leave which complied with the provisions of sections 52, 54 and 60 of the 2016 Act, and that the application could be entertained under section 51 of the Act. The Tribunal therefore went on to consider whether ground 2 had been met in this case.
- The Tribunal accepted based on the evidence produced by the Applicant that they were the holders of a standard security over the property and that they held a sheriff court decree entitling them to sell the property. The Tribunal further accepted that they had a duty to achieve best value in any sale and would be unable to do so in the absence of vacant possession. The Tribunal therefore went on to consider whether it was reasonable to make an eviction order on account of the facts in this case, which required the Tribunal to identify those factors relevant to reasonableness and determine what weight to give to them.
- The Tribunal gave significant weight to the fact that the Applicant was the holder of a standard security over the property, which gave them rights over the property in terms of disposal. The Tribunal also accepted that a sale with a sitting tenant would attract a far lesser value than if the property was offered with vacant possession. The Applicant had a duty to ensure that the maximum sale price was achieved in order to protect the owner's interests, and could only do that if the property was marketed in the best possible condition.
- The Tribunal carefully considered the Respondent's circumstances. The Tribunal had regard to the fact that there were six adults residing in the property, and that the Respondent suffered from ill health. However, whilst the

risk of homelessness to the Respondent's family was a cause for concern, ultimately the Tribunal gave greater weight to the fact that the Respondent and his family did not object to leaving the property. They were simply looking for more time to obtain alternative accommodation. The Tribunal was conscious that the making of an eviction order would assist them in that regard by allowing their applications for housing with the council and social housing providers to progress, which aligned with the advice they had received.

- Accordingly having weighed up those factors relevant to reasonableness in this case, the Tribunal concluded that the balance weighed in favour of making an eviction order and that ground 2 had been met. However, in order to allow time for suitable accommodation to be sourced for the Respondent and his family, the Tribunal determined to suspend execution of the order until 30 May 2025.
- 34 The decision of the Tribunal was unanimous.

Right of Appeal

In terms of Section 46 of the Tribunal (Scotland) Act 2014, a party aggrieved by the decision of the Tribunal may appeal to the Upper Tribunal for Scotland on a point of law only. Before an appeal can be made to the Upper Tribunal, the party must first seek permission to appeal from the First-tier Tribunal. That party must seek permission to appeal within 30 days of the date the decision was sent to them.

Ruth O'Hare

	27 March 2025	
Legal Member/Chair	 Date	