

**DECISION AND STATEMENT OF REASONS OF NICOLA IRVINE, LEGAL
MEMBER OF THE FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL WITH DELEGATED POWERS OF
THE CHAMBER PRESIDENT**

**Under Rule 8 of the First-tier Tribunal for Scotland Housing and Property
Chamber Rules of Procedure 2017 ("the Rules")**

in connection with

114 inglefield street, Flat 2/1, Glasgow, G42 7PZ ("the Property")

Case Reference: FTS/HPC/EV/24/4324

Mr Mohammed Arshad, 25 Windyhill Avenue, Kincardine, Alloa, FK10 4QN (Applicant)

1. The Applicant submitted an application in terms of Rule 109 of the Rules dated 12 September 2024. In support of the application, the Applicant lodged a partial copy of the tenancy agreement and a copy of the Notice to Leave ("NTL").

DECISION

2. The Legal Member considered the application in terms of Rule 8 of the Chamber Procedural Rules. That Rule provides:-

Rejection of application

8.—(1) *The Chamber President or another member of the First-tier Tribunal under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, must reject an application if—*

(a) they consider that the application is frivolous or vexatious;

(b) the dispute to which the application relates has been resolved;

(c) they have good reason to believe that it would not be appropriate to accept the application;

(d) they consider that the application is being made for a purpose other than a purpose specified in the application; or

(e) the applicant has previously made an identical or substantially similar application and in the opinion of the Chamber President or another member of the First-tier Tribunal, under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, there has been no significant change in any material considerations since the identical or substantially similar application was determined.

(2) Where the Chamber President, or another member of the First-tier Tribunal, under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, makes a decision under paragraph (1) to reject an application the First-tier Tribunal must notify the applicant and the notification must state the reason for the decision.

- 3. After consideration of the application and the documents submitted by the Applicant in support of same, the Legal Member considers that the application should be rejected on the basis that it is frivolous within the meaning of Rule 8(1)(a) of the Rules.**

Reasons for Decision

4. 'Frivolous' in the context of legal proceedings is defined by Lord Justice Bingham in *R v North West Suffolk (Mildenhall) Magistrates Court*, (1998) Env LR9. He indicated at page 16 of the judgment; *"What the expression means in this context is, in my view, that the court considers the application to be futile, misconceived, hopeless or academic"*. It is that definition which the Legal Member has considered as the test in this application, and on consideration of this test, the Legal Member considers that this application is frivolous, misconceived and has no prospect of success.
5. The Tribunal issued a letter to the Applicant by email on 25 October 2024 requesting further information by 8 November 2024. No response was received by that date. The Tribunal received an email from the Applicant on 12 November 2024 seeking an update on the application. The Tribunal responded by email on 22 November 2024 advising that further information was required and providing a further copy of the request for information. No response was received. On 6 January 2025 the Tribunal issued a further email to the Applicant requesting the information again and advising that if no response was received, the application may be rejected. No response was received.
6. The Applicant has been given several opportunities to provide further information and has failed to do so. The application was submitted more than

5 months ago and the requisite information has still not been provided. The Legal Member therefore determines that the application is frivolous, misconceived and has no prospect of success. The application is rejected on that basis.

What you should do now

If you accept the Legal Member's decision, there is no need to reply.

If you disagree with this decision –

An applicant aggrieved by the decision of the Chamber President, or any Legal Member acting under delegated powers, may appeal to the Upper Tribunal for Scotland on a point of law only. Before an appeal can be made to the Upper Tribunal, the party must first seek permission to appeal from the First-tier Tribunal. That party must seek permission to appeal within 30 days of the date the decision was sent to them. Information about the appeal procedure can be forwarded to you on request.

Nicola Irvine

Nicola Irvine
Legal Member
25 February 2025