



**DECISION AND STATEMENT OF REASONS OF JOAN DEVINE, LEGAL
MEMBER OF THE FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL WITH DELEGATED POWERS OF
THE CHAMBER PRESIDENT**

**Under Rule 8 of the First-tier Tribunal for Scotland Housing and Property
Chamber Rules of Procedure 2017 ("the Rules")**

in connection with

37 Hillside Road, Glasgow G43 1DB ("Property")

Case Reference: FTS/HPC/EV/26/3779

Jawaide Saleem, 567 Cathcart Road, Glasgow G42 8SG ("Applicant")

1. The Applicant sought an order for possession of the Property in terms of Rule 109 of the Rules and Section 51(1) of the Private Housing (Tenancies) (Scotland) Act 2016 ("2016 Act"). The Applicant lodged two form E dated 13 August 2026. One application named one respondent. The other application named two respondents. In both applications it was stated "mortgage lender is taking back the property" and "notice to leave has been given." No documents were lodged in support of the application.
2. By email dated 27 August 2026 the Tribunal noted that the Tribunal had already issued a decision for an eviction order in relation to the Property and asked why the application had been lodged. The Tribunal noted that if the application was to proceed the Applicant required to lodge an amended application which named only the tenant as respondent and set out the ground for eviction, a copy of the tenancy agreement, a copy notice to leave and proof of service, a copy section 11 notice and proof of service as well as evidence to support the ground for eviction. No response was received.

DECISION

3. The Legal Member considered the application in terms of Rule 8 of the

Chamber Procedural Rules. That Rule provides:-

Rejection of application

8.—(1) The Chamber President or another member of the First-tier Tribunal under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, must reject an application if—

- (a) they consider that the application is frivolous or vexatious;
- (b) the dispute to which the application relates has been resolved;
- (c) they have good reason to believe that it would not be appropriate to accept the application;
- (d) they consider that the application is being made for a purpose other than a purpose specified in the application; or
- (e) the applicant has previously made an identical or substantially similar application and in the opinion of the Chamber President or another member of the First-tier Tribunal, under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, there has been no significant change in any material considerations since the identical or substantially similar application was determined.

(2) Where the Chamber President, or another member of the First-tier Tribunal, under the delegated powers of the Chamber President, makes a decision under paragraph (1) to reject an application the First-tier Tribunal must notify the applicant and the notification must state the reason for the decision.

- 4. After consideration of the application and documents lodged in support of same the Legal Member considers that the application should be rejected on the basis that it is frivolous within the meaning of Rule 8(1)(a) of the Procedural Rules.**

Reasons for Decision

5. 'Frivolous' in the context of legal proceedings is defined by Lord Justice Bingham in *R v North West Suffolk (Mildenhall) Magistrates Court*, (1998) Env LR9. He indicated at page 16 of the judgment; "What the expression means in this context is, in my view, that the court considers the application to be futile, misconceived, hopeless or academic". It is that definition which the Legal Member has considered as the test in this application, and on consideration of this test, the Legal Member considers that this application is frivolous,

misconceived and has no prospect of success.

6. The Applicant seeks recovery of possession of the Property in terms of Rule 109. The application was not accompanied by any of the documents specified in Rule 109 (b). Further, the Tribunal issued an eviction order dated 10 August 2026 in respect of the Property following an application made by a mortgage provided. In these circumstances, the Legal Member determines that the application is frivolous, misconceived and has no prospect of success. The application is rejected on that basis.

What you should do now

If you accept the Legal Member's decision, there is no need to reply.

If you disagree with this decision –

An applicant aggrieved by the decision of the Chamber President, or any Legal Member acting under delegated powers, may appeal to the Upper Tribunal for Scotland on a point of law only. Before an appeal can be made to the Upper Tribunal, the party must first seek permission to appeal from the First-tier Tribunal. That party must seek permission to appeal within 30 days of the date the decision was sent to them. Information about the appeal procedure can be forwarded to you on request.

Joan Devine

Joan Devine, Legal Member
24 September 2026